

Received	17 January 2026	Accepted	14 April 2026
Revised	11 May 2026	Published	30 June 2026
Volume	8	Pages	35-56

**Strategies for Reducing Transportation Costs in Supply Chains: A Case Study
of Food Distribution Companies**

SAUD MABROOK YASIR ALBATHARI*, AHMAD IRDHA MOKHTAR, RAZALEIGH
MUHAMAT KAWANGIT¹

ABSTRACT

In an increasingly complex and cost-sensitive global supply chain landscape, transportation expenses remain a dominant component of overall logistics costs particularly within the food distribution sector, where perishability and regulatory compliance pose additional challenges. This study explores practical strategies for reducing transportation costs in food supply chains through a multi-case study of three food distribution companies of varying sizes and operational models. Adopting a qualitative research design, the study employed semi-structured interviews, document analysis, and thematic data analysis to examine current transportation setups, cost drivers, and implemented cost-reduction strategies. Key findings reveal route optimization, shipment consolidation, collaborative delivery models, and digital tools; such as Transportation Management Systems (TMS) are among the most effective strategies. However, their success is heavily dependent on organizational size, technological readiness, and market structure. The study also found that cost-reduction initiatives can enhance broader supply chain performance by improving delivery reliability, inventory turnover, and service levels when aligned with strategic planning. Challenges such as implementation costs, data accuracy, and resistance to change were noted, particularly among small and medium enterprises (SMEs). The research contributes to both theory and practice by offering industry-specific insights as well as recommendations for logistics managers and policymakers aiming to enhance the efficiency and sustainability of food supply chains.

Keywords: *Transportation cost, supply chain, logistics, route optimization, management.*

¹ **Saud Mabrook Yasir Albathari *** (Corresponding Author) is a Ph.D. candidate at the Institute of Islam Hadhari, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, MALAYSIA. Email: P135122@siswa.ukm.edu.my; **Ahmad Irdha Mokhtar**, Ph.D., a Lecturer at the Research Center for Dakwah & Leadership, Faculty of Islamic Studies, and Associate Research Fellow at the Institute of Islam Hadhari, National University of Malaysia, 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, MALAYSIA. Email: irdha@ukm.edu.my, **Razaleigh Muhamat@Kawangit**, Ph.D., a Professor at the Research Center for Dakwah & Leadership, Faculty of Islamic Studies, and Associate Senior Research Fellow at the Institute of Islam Hadhari, National University of Malaysia, 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, MALAYSIA. Email: raza@ukm.edu.my

INTRODUCTION

In an era characterized by globalization, consumer expectations for speed, quality, and availability of goods have reached unprecedented levels. Businesses today are required to maintain highly responsive and cost-efficient supply chains to meet these demands. Among the various components of a supply chain, transportation stands out as one of the most critical and costly functions. It serves as the physical link between different stages of production and distribution, enabling the movement of raw materials, work-in-process inventories, and finished goods across geographic regions and even continents (Albertzeth et al.,2020).

Transportation costs typically constitute a significant proportion of total logistics expenditures, with estimates ranging between 30% and 60% depending on the nature of the industry. These costs are influenced by a multitude of variables, including fuel prices, labor expenses, vehicle maintenance, regulatory fees, and infrastructure quality. For companies operating in highly competitive markets, managing transportation costs effectively can be the difference between profitability and loss. As such, reducing these costs without compromising the quality of service or customer satisfaction has become a strategic priority for firms across all sectors (Khomaziuk & Gerasymchuk, 2024).

Nowhere is this more evident than in the food distribution industry. Unlike durable goods, food products are highly sensitive to time, temperature, and handling conditions. Perishability imposes strict limitations on storage duration and transportation time, requiring companies to adopt specialized logistics solutions such as cold chain systems, insulated packaging, and real-time monitoring. These requirements significantly increase the complexity and cost of transportation in the food supply chain (Albertzeth & Pujawan, 2018).

Furthermore, the food industry faces additional layers of challenge due to the dynamic nature of consumer demand, frequent product recalls, and stringent health and safety regulations. Distributors must not only ensure rapid and efficient deliveries but also maintain compliance with national and international food safety standards. The need for frequent replenishment, smaller delivery windows, and regional distribution networks adds further strain to already tight transportation budgets. In many cases, food companies are forced to balance speed and efficiency with cost-effectiveness, creating an ongoing tension between service level and profitability. These challenges are compounded by external market pressures such as rising fuel prices, driver shortages, and increasing urban congestion. While some large firms have the resources to invest in advanced technologies like route optimization software, telematics, or automation, small and medium-sized food distributors often struggle to afford or implement such innovations. This creates disparities in operational efficiency and widens the gap in competitiveness across the industry (Pellegrino et al.,2021).

Given this context, the current study seeks to explore effective strategies for reducing transportation costs in the food distribution sector. By examining real-world practices through case studies of selected companies, the research aims to identify cost-saving measures that are both practical and sustainable. Ultimately, the goal is to contribute actionable insights that can assist food distributors, especially those with limited resources in improving their transportation efficiency while maintaining product quality and customer satisfaction.

This research is both timely and necessary, as global supply chains continue to face disruptions and inflationary pressures that threaten their stability. By focusing on the specific context of food distribution, the study sheds light on a sector that is critical not only to economic performance but also to public health and food security.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Despite technological advancements and process innovations, many food distribution companies continue to grapple with high transportation costs. Inefficiencies such as poor route planning, underutilized vehicles, rising fuel prices, and lack of coordination across supply chain partners exacerbate the problem. There is a pressing need to identify and implement strategic measures that can effectively reduce these costs without compromising service quality or food safety.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

This study aims to examine the transportation cost structures within food distribution supply chains and to identify practical strategies for cost reduction. Using real-world case studies, the research will evaluate how selected companies are addressing these challenges, and what lessons can be drawn to inform broader industry practices.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

To guide the investigation, the study will address the following research questions:

1. What are the primary drivers of transportation costs in food distribution supply chains?
2. What strategies have food distribution companies adopted to reduce these costs?
3. How effective are these strategies in improving cost-efficiency and maintaining service levels?

SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

The scope of this study is limited to food distribution companies operating within a specific regional or national context (e.g., North America or MENA region), depending on data availability. While the focus will be on transportation within the supply chain, related logistics elements such as warehousing and procurement will be considered only insofar as they impact

transport efficiency. The study is limited by the availability of company data, potential confidentiality constraints, and the generalizability of case-specific findings.

The paper is organized as follows:

- Section 2 presents a comprehensive review of the existing literature on transportation costs and logistics strategies in the food sector.
- Section 3 details the methodology adopted for the case study research.
- Section 4 presents the findings from the selected food distribution companies.
- Section 5 discusses the implications of these findings and compares them with existing theoretical frameworks.
- Section 6 outlines strategic recommendations for industry practitioners.
- Section 7 concludes the study, highlighting key insights, limitations, and suggestions for future research.

LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1. Overview of supply chain and logistics management

Supply chain management (SCM) has emerged as a vital area of strategic importance for organizations seeking to gain competitive advantage in today's fast-paced global markets. The concept of the supply chain encompasses all activities involved in the transformation and flow of goods from the procurement of raw materials, through manufacturing and distribution, to the final delivery of products to end consumers. As defined by the Council of Supply Chain Management Professionals (CSCMP), SCM involves the planning and management of all activities related to sourcing, procurement, conversion, and logistics, as well as the coordination and collaboration with channel partners including suppliers, intermediaries, third-party service providers, and customers (Qi et al.,2017).

Logistics, as a key component of the supply chain, refers specifically to the efficient planning, implementation, and control of the movement and storage of goods, services, and related information between the point of origin and the point of consumption. It includes transportation, warehousing, inventory management, order fulfillment, and distribution network design. Effective logistics management is essential for ensuring the right product reaches the right place at the right time and in the right condition, all at the lowest possible cost. Over the past two decades, the field of logistics has undergone significant transformation, driven by advancements in information technology, increased global trade, and the shift toward customer-centric business models. Traditional linear supply chains have evolved into dynamic, interconnected supply networks that rely heavily on real-time data and collaborative partnerships. Technologies such as enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, radio-frequency identification (RFID), GPS tracking, and artificial intelligence have enhanced visibility and decision-making across the supply chain (Das Roy & Sana, 2021).

Academically, the integration of logistics and supply chain functions is recognized as critical to achieving operational excellence. According to Christopher (2016), companies that successfully align their logistics operations with broader supply chain strategies are better equipped to respond to market changes, reduce costs, and enhance customer satisfaction. Moreover, Chopra and Meindl (2019) emphasize the importance of supply chain coordination in minimizing inefficiencies such as the bullwhip effect, where small fluctuations in demand can lead to significant variations in inventory and transportation planning across the chain (Kogler et al.,2021).

In the context of food distribution, logistics management becomes even more complex due to product perishability, regulatory compliance, and the necessity for cold chain systems. As highlighted by Aung and Chang (2014), any disruption or inefficiency in logistics can lead to food spoilage, financial losses, and reputational damage. Therefore, understanding the foundational principles of supply chain and logistics management is essential for identifying areas of cost optimization, particularly in transportation, the focus of this study.

1.2. Transportation cost structures in supply chains

Transportation is often cited as the most significant cost element within logistics, accounting for a large share of total supply chain expenses. The cost of transportation is not merely a function of distance traveled, or fuel consumed; it reflects a complex structure composed of multiple interrelated components that vary depending on the industry, product type, geography, and delivery model. Transportation cost structures generally include both fixed and variable costs. Fixed costs refer to those that do not change with the volume of goods moved, such as vehicle purchase or lease, insurance, licenses, and driver salaries. Variable costs, on the other hand, fluctuate based on usage and include fuel, maintenance, tolls, and freight charges. Additionally, indirect costs such as time delays, loading and unloading inefficiencies, and administrative overhead also contribute to overall transportation expenses.

According to Coyle et al. (2020), key drivers of transportation costs include:

- ✓ Distance and route efficiency
- ✓ Mode of transportation (e.g., truck, rail, air, sea)
- ✓ Shipment size and load utilization
- ✓ Fuel prices and fuel efficiency
- ✓ Labor costs and driver availability
- ✓ Regulatory compliance (e.g., safety and emissions standards)
- ✓ Technology integration (e.g., telematics, TMS, GPS)

Several cost models have been developed to optimize transportation-related decision-making. Total Cost Analysis (TCA), for instance, looks beyond immediate transport rates to include inventory holding costs, order processing, and warehousing implications of transportation choices.

Similarly, Activity-Based Costing (ABC) has been used to allocate transport-related overhead more accurately, particularly in multi-product and multi-route logistics systems.

In food supply chains, the transportation cost structure is particularly burdensome due to the need for temperature-controlled logistics, also known as the cold chain. The cold chain requires specialized refrigerated vehicles, insulated packaging, and real-time monitoring systems to maintain freshness and safety standards. These requirements significantly elevate capital and operational costs compared to non-perishable goods. According to Nahmias and Olsen (2015), transportation in cold chain logistics can cost 30–50% more than traditional transport modes, depending on route complexity and compliance needs.

Moreover, last-mile delivery, the final leg of the supply chain represents a disproportionate share of transportation costs, especially in urban and densely populated areas. This is particularly relevant for perishable food products requiring rapid, small-batch deliveries to retailers, restaurants, or end consumers. Strategies such as route optimization, shipment consolidation, and collaborative distribution are being employed to address these cost challenges. As the pressure to improve sustainability and reduce emissions increases, food distributors are also facing environmental cost trade-offs. For instance, regulations pushing for cleaner vehicles or reduced carbon footprints often require upfront investment but can lead to long-term savings through fuel efficiency and tax incentives (Larson et al., 2014).

Understanding the full composition and behavior of transportation cost structures is essential for designing strategies that achieve cost reductions without sacrificing service quality or compliance. This sets the foundation for analyzing how food distribution companies respond to these challenges in practice an area further explored in this study.

1.3. Specific Issues in Food Logistics

Food logistics, often referred to as agri-food logistics or cold chain logistics, involves the planning, implementation, and control of the flow of food products from producers to consumers. Due to the unique characteristics of food particularly perishability, sensitivity to temperature, and strict regulatory requirements logistics in this sector presents distinct challenges that differ from those in other supply chains (Gružauskas et al., 2018).

1. Perishability and Shelf Life Constraints

Perishability is the defining feature of food products. Many items such as dairy, meat, seafood, and fresh produce have short shelf lives and are highly susceptible to spoilage if not stored and transported under strict conditions. This necessitates the use of cold chain infrastructure (refrigerated storage and transportation), which significantly increases logistical complexity and

cost. Delays or malfunctions in the cold chain can result in irreversible product loss, customer dissatisfaction, and legal liability.

2. Temperature and Hygiene Control

Maintaining proper temperature control throughout the supply chain is essential to ensure food safety and compliance with health regulations. Any breach in the cold chain can lead to bacterial growth or contamination, making products unsafe for consumption. In addition to temperature, hygiene protocols must be followed rigorously during handling, storage, and transport. Companies are required to comply with international standards such as HACCP (Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points) and local food safety regulations, which often require specialized equipment and employee training (Noche & Elhasia, 2013).

3. Time-Sensitive Deliveries

Due to shelf life limitations, food distribution operates under tighter delivery windows compared to other sectors. Many food products require just-in-time (JIT) or daily deliveries to retailers or restaurants to ensure freshness. This necessitates precise scheduling, fast order fulfillment, and minimal handling times. However, the unpredictability of traffic, weather, or equipment breakdowns can disrupt delivery timelines, risking both product quality and customer relationships.

4. Inventory Management Challenges

Unlike durable goods, food inventory cannot be stockpiled for long periods. Inventory turnover must be rapid, with real-time tracking of expiration dates and demand patterns. Overstocking can lead to waste, while understocking may cause lost sales and customer dissatisfaction. Balancing inventory levels is particularly challenging during seasonal demand fluctuations, promotional campaigns, or supply disruptions such as crop failures (Mamonov & Poluektov, 2019).

5. Fragmented and Multi-Tiered Supply Chains

The food supply chain often involves multiple layers of producers, aggregators, processors, wholesalers, and retailers. This fragmentation creates challenges in coordination, traceability, and accountability. For example, in the case of food recalls, tracing the origin of a contaminated batch can be extremely difficult without integrated information systems. The lack of real-time visibility across tiers hampers decision-making and responsiveness.

6. Regulatory Compliance and Documentation

Food logistics must comply with a complex web of regulations related to food safety, transportation standards, labeling, and international trade (for imports/exports). This creates a

heavy administrative burden, especially for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Non-compliance can result in heavy fines, loss of licenses, or bans from certain markets.

7. Demand Variability and Short Lead Times

Consumer demand for food products can be highly variable and influenced by external factors such as weather, public holidays, trends, and media influence. Distributors must respond to this variability with agility, which often involves maintaining flexible delivery schedules and rapid reordering capabilities both of which add to transportation and labor costs.

8. Environmental and Sustainability Pressures

There is growing pressure on food distributors to reduce their carbon footprint and food waste. Transporting food over long distances (known as “food miles”) contributes significantly to greenhouse gas emissions. Moreover, spoilage during transportation contributes to global food waste major economic and ethical issue. These concerns have led companies to explore sustainable practices such as local sourcing, eco-friendly packaging, and green logistics technologies, though these can require significant upfront investment. Together, these factors make food logistics an exceptionally demanding domain where errors are costly, and margins are tight. As a result, food distributors must carefully balance service reliability, product integrity, and cost efficiency. Understanding these issues is essential for identifying the most effective strategies for transportation cost reduction an area explored in subsequent sections of this study (Adebanjo et al.,2016).

1.4. Previous Strategies Used for Cost Reduction

The challenge of reducing transportation costs has been a central theme in logistics and supply chain management research for decades. Organizations, especially those operating in cost-sensitive and perishable goods sectors like food distribution, have adopted a variety of strategies ranging from operational adjustments to advanced technological innovations to enhance transportation efficiency while maintaining service quality.

1. Route Optimization and Load Consolidation

One of the most widely adopted cost-reduction strategies is route optimization. This involves using algorithms and transportation management systems (TMS) to calculate the most efficient routes based on distance, traffic conditions, delivery windows, and vehicle capacity. Studies such as those by Crainic et al. (2009) demonstrate that optimizing delivery routes can reduce fuel consumption, vehicle wear and tear, and labor hours. Closely related to this is load consolidation, which focuses on increasing vehicle utilization by combining shipments going to similar destinations. This reduces the number of trips required and improves economies of scale. In food logistics,

consolidation must be done carefully to ensure compatibility of temperature and hygiene standards between different products.

2. Outsourcing and Third-Party Logistics (3PL)

Many companies choose to outsource transportation to third-party logistics providers (3PLs) to benefit from their specialized infrastructure, scale, and expertise. 3PLs often offer shared fleet services, cross-docking, and advanced tracking systems, which allow food distributors to reduce capital expenditures and focus on core operations. According to Langley et al. (2022), outsourcing can lead to both cost savings and service level improvements, especially for small and medium enterprises (SMEs).

3. Use of Technology and Automation

Digital technologies have increasingly become vital tools for reducing logistics costs. Transportation Management Systems (TMS), GPS tracking, Internet of Things (IoT) devices, and Artificial Intelligence (AI) are used to improve shipment visibility, track vehicle performance, and predict delivery delays. Automation in warehouse loading and order processing also reduces labor costs and handling errors. In the context of food logistics, cold chain monitoring technologies such as real-time temperature sensors help minimize spoilage, thus reducing product loss costs during transit. Blockchain is also being explored for improving traceability and reducing administrative redundancies (Kaak et al., 2018).

4. Collaborative Transportation and Horizontal Integration

Firms have increasingly adopted collaborative logistics models, especially in urban or regional food distribution. Under these models, companies with similar delivery networks share transport resources and distribution centers. This strategy, often termed horizontal integration, helps reduce partial loads, fuel costs, and greenhouse gas emissions. Research by Pan et al. (2013) has shown that collaboration among food producers, wholesalers, and retailers can significantly reduce “empty miles” (return trips with no cargo) and improve overall delivery efficiency. However, successful implementation requires strong coordination, trust, and often a neutral platform or intermediary.

5. Modal Shift and Intermodal Transportation

Some companies have shifted from road-only transport to intermodal transportation, using combinations of truck, rail, and sea to optimize long-haul movements. Although this strategy is more prevalent in international or cross-regional distribution, it has been applied in food supply chains for bulk and non-urgent deliveries. Rail and sea are generally more fuel-efficient over long distances, although they require careful planning and longer lead times (Gohari et al., 2022).

6. Demand Forecasting and Inventory Planning

Transportation cost is not just a function of vehicle movement it is also influenced by order frequency and inventory levels. Companies that improve their demand forecasting accuracy can plan shipments more effectively, avoid urgent or partial-load deliveries, and synchronize supply with demand. Advanced forecasting tools, powered by machine learning and big data, help companies anticipate seasonal peaks, weather disruptions, or promotional surges.

7. Green Logistics and Sustainability Practices

As pressure mounts to reduce environmental impact, many firms have adopted green logistics practices, which can also lead to cost reductions over time. These include using electric or hybrid vehicles, investing in route-planning software to reduce emissions, and adopting fuel-efficient driving practices (eco-driving). Though initially capital-intensive, these initiatives often yield long-term savings through reduced fuel consumption, lower taxes, and improved public image. These strategies have varying levels of effectiveness depending on the size of the company, the complexity of its distribution network, and the regulatory environment. The goal of this study is to investigate how these and other strategies are being implemented in real-world food distribution companies, and to assess their success in reducing transportation costs while maintaining efficiency and product integrity.

1.5. Gaps in the Existing Research

Despite the extensive body of literature on transportation cost management and logistics optimization, several important research gaps remain particularly in the context of food distribution supply chains, which present unique and evolving challenges.

1. Limited Industry-Specific Focus on Food Logistics

While many studies have addressed transportation costs in general supply chain contexts, there is a noticeable lack of sector-specific research dedicated to food logistics. The distinct requirements of perishability, cold chain maintenance, and regulatory compliance are often overlooked in broader analyses. As a result, generalized cost-reduction strategies may not be directly applicable or effective in the food industry, where margins are tight and service quality is non-negotiable.

2. Insufficient Empirical Case Studies from Developing Regions

Much of the current research is concentrated in developed economies such as North America and Western Europe. However, food supply chains in developing countries and emerging markets face additional challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, unreliable electricity for cold storage, and

fragmented distribution networks. There is a clear need for empirical studies that document transportation cost issues and solutions in these contexts to inform locally relevant strategies.

3. Underexplored Role of Technology in Cost Reduction

While the adoption of digital tools like Transportation Management Systems (TMS), GPS tracking, and real-time monitoring has been acknowledged in the literature, few studies have systematically measured the quantitative impact of such technologies on transportation costs in food logistics. Moreover, the barriers to technology adoption such as cost, skills gap, and interoperability are not fully explored, particularly for small and medium-sized food distributors.

4. Fragmented Insights on Collaborative Logistics Models

Collaboration in transportation such as shared delivery networks or cooperative route planning has shown potential in reducing cost and improving efficiency. However, empirical data on real-world implementation, especially in the food sector, remains limited. Questions regarding trust, data sharing, cost allocation, and operational coordination are often noted but not deeply examined.

5. Lack of Integration Between Cost Reduction and Sustainability Goals

There is growing emphasis on green logistics and sustainable supply chains, yet many studies treat cost reduction and environmental responsibility as separate agendas. Few models or frameworks exist that simultaneously evaluate financial and environmental performance in transportation strategies despite the growing relevance of this balance in food supply chains under climate and regulatory pressures.

6. Gaps in Longitudinal and Post-Implementation Assessments

Most existing studies focus on planning and theoretical optimization of transportation strategies. However, there is a lack of longitudinal research that examines the long-term effectiveness and adaptability of these strategies in real operational environments. Without such post-implementation reviews, it is difficult to determine whether the projected cost savings and efficiency gains are sustainable over time. In light of these gaps, this study seeks to contribute to the literature by providing an in-depth, empirical investigation of cost-reduction strategies specifically within food distribution companies. By focusing on real-world practices, industry-specific challenges, and the integration of technology, the research aims to generate practical insights that bridge the gap between theory and application in transportation cost management.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This section outlines the research design, case study rationale, sampling strategy, data collection methods, analysis techniques, and measures undertaken to ensure the reliability and validity of the study. Given the exploratory nature of the topic transportation cost reduction strategies in food distribution in-depth understanding of contextual factors and real-world practices is essential.

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research design, using a multiple-case study approach. The qualitative paradigm is appropriate for exploring complex operational phenomena in their natural settings, particularly when the goal is to gain in-depth insights rather than test predefined hypotheses. The focus of the research is to explore how food distribution companies identify, evaluate, and implement strategies to reduce transportation costs, taking into account the unique characteristics of perishable goods logistics. The flexibility of the qualitative approach allows for rich, contextualized data collection and the identification of nuanced patterns and decision-making processes that are often lost in purely quantitative studies.

3.2 Case Study Approach Justification

The case study method is particularly well-suited for this research because it facilitates the examination of transportation strategies within the real-life context of food supply chains. This approach is supported by Yin (2018), who states that case studies are ideal for answering “how” and “why” questions about contemporary phenomena, especially when the boundaries between the phenomenon and context are not clearly defined. The use of multiple case studies as opposed to a single case enhances the robustness of the findings by allowing for cross-case comparison, pattern identification, and greater analytical generalizability. Each case provides a detailed, real-world example of how specific cost reduction strategies are applied, which contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of best practices and challenges in the sector.

3.3 Selection Criteria for Companies

The selection of companies was based on purposeful sampling, a non-probability technique that targets information-rich cases relevant to the research questions. The inclusion criteria were as follows:

- Companies must be involved primarily in food distribution or logistics, either as distributors, wholesalers, or third-party logistics providers (3PLs) specializing in food.
- Companies should have a significant transportation component in their supply chain operations (e.g., own fleets, refrigerated transport, regional or national delivery networks).
- Preference was given to companies that have recently implemented or evaluated transportation cost-saving initiatives.

- Accessibility for interviews or data sharing was a prerequisite, either through management consent or available secondary data sources.

A total of three companies of varying size and operational scope were selected to provide diversity in strategic approaches and operational environments.

3.4 Data Collection Methods

To ensure a holistic understanding of each case, data were collected using multiple sources of evidence, following the principle of triangulation. The methods included:

- Semi-structured interviews with key personnel such as logistics managers, transportation planners, and operations directors. These interviews explored the rationale, implementation, and outcomes of various cost-reduction strategies.
- Document analysis, including internal logistics reports, cost audits, and company strategy documents related to transportation and logistics.
- Observation, where applicable, of logistical operations or transportation hubs to gather contextual information.
- Publicly available secondary data, such as sustainability reports, industry white papers, and financial disclosures, were also reviewed to supplement the primary data.

Interview protocols were developed to maintain consistency, and all interviews were recorded (with consent) and transcribed for analysis.

3.5 Data Analysis Techniques

The qualitative data collected were analyzed using thematic analysis, a flexible yet rigorous method for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (themes) within data. The steps included:

1. Familiarization with the data through repeated reading of interview transcripts and documents.
2. Initial coding, where units of meaning related to transportation cost reduction were tagged.
3. Theme development, grouping codes into broader categories such as "route optimization," "collaborative logistics," or "cold chain efficiency."
4. Cross-case comparison, analyzing similarities and differences in strategic approaches across the studied companies.

NVivo software was used to support the coding process, increase transparency, and manage large volumes of qualitative data effectively.

3.6 Reliability and Validity Measures

To ensure the rigor and trustworthiness of the findings, the study adopted several strategies based on criteria proposed by Lincoln and Guba (1985):

- **Credibility:** Established through data triangulation (interviews, documents, observations), member checking (participants reviewing summaries of their interviews), and prolonged engagement with the data.
- **Transferability:** Supported by detailed descriptions of the case contexts, allowing readers to determine the applicability of findings to other settings.
- **Dependability:** Enhanced by maintaining an audit trail of all methodological decisions, data coding schemes, and research notes.
- **Confirmability:** Ensured by documenting the researcher's reflections and minimizing personal bias during data interpretation.

Ethical approval was obtained before data collection, and participants were assured of confidentiality and anonymity throughout the research process.

CASE STUDY: FOOD DISTRIBUTION COMPANIES

This chapter presents an in-depth analysis of three selected food distribution companies. Each case highlights the company's logistics profile, transportation setup, key cost drivers, implemented strategies for cost reduction, and the challenges encountered during execution. The aim is to uncover actionable insights through real-world practices.

4.1 Profile of the Selected Companies

Company A: FreshRoute Logistics

A medium-sized third-party logistics (3PL) provider operating primarily in urban areas, FreshRoute specializes in same-day delivery of perishable goods such as dairy, fruits, and vegetables. The company serves over 200 clients, including supermarkets, restaurants, and online grocery platforms.

Company B: AgriFoods Distribution

A large-scale, vertically integrated food distributor managing its own farms, cold storage units, and transport fleet. AgriFoods serves both national and regional retail chains. The company's logistics operations span over five provinces with both dry and refrigerated cargo services.

Company C: GreenBox Wholesalers

A small enterprise focusing on regional wholesale distribution of organic and locally sourced products. GreenBox works with a decentralized supplier base and delivers to a mix of independent grocers and food co-ops. The company contracts its transport needs to external carriers.

4.2 Current Logistics and Transportation Setup

Company	Fleet Ownership	Cold Chain Infrastructure	Coverage Area	Delivery Frequency
A	Fully outsourced	Mixed (partner-managed)	Urban/metropolitan	Daily / On-demand
B	Fully owned	Advanced in-house cold chain	National (5 regions)	2–3 times/week
C	Partially outsourced	Limited, uses cool boxes	Regional	Weekly / Biweekly

Company A relies heavily on gig-economy delivery models and app-based tracking for real-time delivery control.

Company B operates an integrated logistics network, including refrigerated trucks and centralized cold warehouses.

Company C uses external contractors for transport and portable cooling methods due to budget constraints.

4.3 Identified Transportation Cost Drivers

All three companies reported common and distinct transportation cost drivers:

Cost Driver	Company A	Company B	Company C
Fuel costs	✓✓	✓✓✓	✓✓
Empty miles	✓✓✓	✓✓	✓✓✓
Refrigeration (cold chain ops)	✓✓	✓✓✓	✓
Low load utilization	✓✓✓	✓	✓✓✓
Last-mile complexity	✓✓✓	✓✓	✓✓
Driver wages and turnover	✓✓	✓✓✓	✓
Regulatory compliance	✓	✓✓✓	✓
Technology & system costs	✓✓	✓✓✓	✓

Note: ✓ indicates intensity of impact (✓ = low, ✓✓ = moderate, ✓✓✓ = high)

4.4 Observed Strategies for Cost Reduction

Each company adopted different sets of strategies tailored to their operational constraints and goals:

Company A:

- Adopted route optimization software integrated with real-time traffic data.
- Shifted to micro-fulfillment centers to reduce travel distance.
- Engaged in collaborative delivery with other local food distributors.

Company B:

- Retrofitted fleet with fuel-efficient and hybrid trucks.
- Implemented centralized dispatch supported by AI-based TMS.
- Negotiated long-term bulk contracts for fuel and driver outsourcing in rural areas.

Company C:

- Consolidated delivery routes and encouraged batch ordering from customers.
- Switched to shared transport with nearby wholesalers (horizontal collaboration).
- Introduced seasonal delivery scheduling to reduce off-peak trips.

4.5 Implementation Challenges

Despite notable efforts, each company faced a unique set of challenges during implementation:

Challenge	Company A	Company B	Company C
Resistance to digital change	✓✓	✓	✓✓✓
High initial investment	✓	✓✓✓	✓✓
Data accuracy for planning	✓✓✓	✓	✓✓
Driver retention and training	✓✓	✓✓✓	✓✓
Coordination with external partners	✓✓	✓	✓✓✓
Ensuring cold chain integrity	✓	✓✓✓	✓✓

Company A found gig drivers hesitant to adopt mandatory routing apps. Company B faced budgeting issues in scaling AI-driven logistics software across all regions. Company C struggled with coordination among multiple wholesalers using different scheduling systems.

This comparative case study reveals that transportation cost reduction is not a one-size-fits-all approach. Strategies must align with organizational capacity, scale, and operational context. The next chapter will analyze these findings in greater depth and discuss their implications for practice and policy in the food logistics industry.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

This chapter presents a synthesis of the data collected from the case studies and interprets the findings in relation to the existing literature. The goal is to assess the effectiveness of observed transportation cost-reduction strategies, their broader impact on supply chain performance, and their sustainability and applicability within the food logistics industry.

5.1 Analysis of Data Collected

The data collected through interviews, document analysis, and observations revealed **diverse strategies** for managing transportation costs, driven largely by organizational size, infrastructure capabilities, and logistical maturity. Key themes identified through thematic analysis include:

- **Route Optimization:** All companies employed some form of route planning, though the sophistication varied. Company A used real-time traffic algorithms, Company B used AI-driven dispatch, and Company C relied on manual weekly adjustments.
- **Fleet Utilization and Load Consolidation:** Low vehicle utilization was a major issue, especially for Company A and C. Both firms implemented shared or batch delivery models to mitigate partial loads.
- **Technology Adoption:** Larger firms like Company B leveraged advanced TMS and telematics systems, while smaller firms faced adoption resistance due to cost and complexity.
- **Cold Chain Management:** Company B excelled in maintaining cold chain integrity with dedicated infrastructure, while Companies A and C struggled with maintaining optimal conditions during multi-stop or long-haul deliveries.

These themes suggest that companies are actively addressing transportation inefficiencies, but their approaches are shaped by operational capacity, customer expectations, and technological readiness.

5.2 Comparison with Literature Review

The empirical findings align with several key themes discussed in the literature:

Literature Insight	Empirical Confirmation
Route optimization reduces fuel costs and delivery time (Crainic et al., 2009)	Confirmed in all three cases, especially Company A

Cold chain logistics significantly raises transport costs (Aung & Chang, 2014)	Validated by Companies B and C
Load consolidation improves cost-efficiency in small firms (Pan et al., 2013)	Clearly adopted by Company C
Barriers to tech adoption in SMEs (Langley et al., 2022)	Observed in Company C and partly in A
Collaboration reduces empty miles and improves utilization	Demonstrated in A and C through joint delivery agreements

While much of the existing literature focuses on theoretical models or technology-centric strategies, this study confirms the importance of contextual adaptability and organizational readiness in determining the success of cost-reduction initiatives.

5.3 Impact of Cost-Reduction Strategies on Overall Supply Chain Performance

The study revealed that transportation cost-reduction efforts have broader implications for supply chain performance, particularly in terms of:

- **Service Level Maintenance:** Company B maintained high customer satisfaction due to strategic investments in cold chain and delivery consistency, despite higher capital expenditure.
- **Operational Agility:** Company A improved its response time through dynamic routing, enhancing its value proposition in last-mile delivery markets.
- **Inventory Alignment:** Company C's shift to consolidated shipments helped align inventory levels with delivery cycles, reducing spoilage and storage costs.

However, some trade-offs were also noted. For example, route consolidation occasionally led to longer delivery windows, potentially impacting freshness and service reliability, particularly for perishable goods.

5.4 Sustainability and Scalability of Strategies

Sustainability both environmental and financial was a key concern across all cases:

- **Environmental Impact:** All three companies showed interest in reducing emissions and fuel usage. However, only Company B had taken concrete steps by transitioning to hybrid vehicles.
- **Economic Sustainability:** Strategies that required low capital investment (e.g., load sharing, scheduling adjustments) were more sustainable for SMEs. In contrast, larger capital-intensive solutions (AI-TMS, fleet upgrades) demanded long-term planning and financial stability.

- Scalability: Most strategies implemented by Company B (e.g., AI dispatch, real-time monitoring) are scalable and potentially replicable by other large enterprises. In contrast, Company C's manual solutions, while effective, may not scale without process digitization or external partnerships.

Thus, scalability is strongly linked to organizational maturity, IT infrastructure, and resource availability.

5.5 Industry-Specific Insights

The food logistics industry faces unique constraints that shape cost-reduction strategies:

1. Perishability constraints make service speed and temperature control non-negotiable.
2. Regulatory compliance adds a layer of complexity not present in other sectors.
3. Seasonal demand and price sensitivity require agile and flexible delivery systems.
4. Customer expectations for freshness and traceability are high, limiting cost-cutting opportunities that reduce visibility or control.

These factors demand a balancing act between cost reduction and value preservation, where strategic trade-offs must be carefully evaluated.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Practical strategies for reducing transportation costs
- Policy implications
- Technological innovations and digitization
- Suggestions for logistics managers in food supply chains

CONCLUSION

The study set out to explore effective strategies for reducing transportation costs in food distribution companies through a multiple-case study approach. The key findings are as follows:

- Transportation cost drivers in food logistics include fuel consumption, underutilized fleet capacity, cold chain infrastructure expenses, and last-mile delivery complexity.
- Cost-reduction strategies observed across the case studies included route optimization, collaborative logistics, delivery scheduling, load consolidation, and investment in technology such as Transportation Management Systems (TMS).
- The impact of these strategies extended beyond cost savings to include improvements in delivery reliability, customer satisfaction, and inventory alignment.

- The sustainability and scalability of cost-reduction strategies were found to vary significantly across firms, depending on their size, technological capacity, and operational maturity.
- Industry-specific challenges particularly perishability, regulatory compliance, and demand variability necessitate customized and often hybrid solutions for effective cost control.

These findings confirm the importance of aligning cost-saving initiatives with logistical constraints and organizational capabilities in the food supply chain context.

7.2 Research Contributions

This research makes several contributions to the academic and professional fields of supply chain and logistics management:

- It provides empirical insights into how food distribution companies apply and adapt transportation cost-reduction strategies in real-world conditions.
- It extends the literature by incorporating context-specific challenges (e.g., cold chain requirements, perishability) often overlooked in broader transportation cost studies.
- It highlights the interplay between cost reduction, technology adoption, and service level maintenance, offering a more integrated view of logistics optimization.
- The study offers a practical framework of strategies that can guide logistics managers, especially in small and medium enterprises (SMEs), in designing cost-efficient and resilient transport systems.

7.3 Limitations of the Study

While the research provides valuable insights, it is subject to certain limitations:

- Sample size and scope: The study focused on only three companies within a specific regional and sectoral context, limiting generalizability.
- Qualitative orientation: The research employed qualitative methods and thematic analysis, which provide depth but do not offer statistically generalizable results.
- Access limitations: Some companies were unable to share sensitive operational or financial data, which restricted the depth of cost analysis in certain areas.
- Time constraints: The study captured a snapshot of company practices, without long-term tracking of the effectiveness of implemented strategies.

REFERENCES

- Adebanjo, D., Teh, P. L., & Ahmed, P. K. (2016). The impact of external pressure and sustainable management practices on manufacturing performance and environmental outcomes. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 36(9), 995-1013.
- Albertzeth, G., & Pujawan, I. N. (2018, April). Cost-effective strategy to mitigate transportation disruptions in supply chain. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 337, No. 1, p. 012042). IOP Publishing.
- Albertzeth, G., Pujawan, I. N., Hilletoft, P., & Tjahjono, B. (2020). Mitigating transportation disruptions in a supply chain: a cost-effective strategy. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 23(2), 139-158.
- Aung, M. M., & Chang, Y. S. (2014). *Temperature management for the quality assurance of a perishable food supply chain*. *Food Control*, 40, 198–207.
- Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2019). *Supply Chain Management: Strategy, Planning, and Operation* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & Supply Chain Management* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Council of Supply Chain Management Professionals (CSCMP). (2020). *CSCMP Supply Chain Management Definitions*. Retrieved from <https://cscmp.org>
- Coyle, J. J., Novack, R. A., Gibson, B. J., & Bardi, E. J. (2020). *Transportation: A Supply Chain Perspective* (9th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Crainic, T. G., Ricciardi, N., & Storch, G. (2009). *Models for evaluating and planning city logistics transportation systems*. *Transportation Science*, 43(4), 432–454. <https://doi.org/10.1287/trsc.1090.0279>
- Das Roy, M., & Sana, S. S. (2021). Multi-echelon green supply chain model with random defectives, remanufacturing and rework under setup cost reduction and variable transportation cost. *Sādhanā*, 46, 1-18.
- Gohari, A., Ahmad, A. B., Balasbaneh, A. T., Gohari, A., Hasan, R., & Sholagberu, A. T. (2022). Significance of intermodal freight modal choice criteria: MCDM-based decision support models and SP-based modal shift policies. *Transport Policy*, 121, 46-60.
- Gružauskas, V., Baskutis, S., & Navickas, V. (2018). Minimizing the trade-off between sustainability and cost effective performance by using autonomous vehicles. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 184, 709-717.

- Kaack, L. H., Vaishnav, P., Morgan, M. G., Azevedo, I. L., & Rai, S. (2018). Decarbonizing intraregional freight systems with a focus on modal shift. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(8), 083001.
- Khomaziuk, S., & Gerasymchuk, T. V. (2024). How effectively reduce transportation costs.
- Kogler, C., Schimpfhuber, S., Eichberger, C., & Rauch, P. (2021). Benchmarking procurement cost saving strategies for wood supply chains. *Forests*, 12(8), 1086.
- Langley, C. J., Coyle, J. J., Novack, R. A., & Gibson, B. J. (2022). *Supply Chain Management: A Logistics Perspective* (12th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Larson, C., Burn, R., Minnick-Sakal, A., Douglas, M. O. K., & Kuritsky, J. (2014). Strategies to reduce risks in ARV supply chains in the developing world. *Global Health: Science and Practice*, 2(4), 395-402.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Sage Publications.
- Mamonov, V., & Poluektov, V. (2019). The impact of transport costs on sales in supply chains. In *Energy Management of Municipal Transportation Facilities and Transport* (pp. 820-829). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Nahmias, S., & Olsen, T. L. (2015). *Production and Operations Analysis* (7th ed.). Waveland Press.
- Noche, B., & Elhasia, T. (2013). Approach to innovative supply chain strategies in cement industry; Analysis and Model simulation. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 75, 359-369.
- Pan, S., Ballot, E., & Fontane, F. (2013). *The reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from freight transport by pooling supply chains*. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 143(1), 86–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.09.013>
- Pellegrino, R., Costantino, N., & Tauro, D. (2021). The value of flexibility in mitigating supply chain transportation risks. *International Journal of Production Research*, 59(20), 6252-6269.
- Qi, Y., Huo, B., Wang, Z., & Yeung, H. Y. J. (2017). The impact of operations and supply chain strategies on integration and performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 185, 162-174.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.